IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY

ORDINARY ORIGINAL, CIVIL JURISDICTION

CHAMBER SUMMONS NO.110 OF 2005
IN
WRIT PETITION NO.18 OF 2003

Mahanagar Telephone Nigam Ltd..Petitioners

Vs.

1.State of Maharashtara & Ors..Respondents

Mr. S.R. Rajguru, for the Petitioners

Mr. Pradeep Sancheti with Mr. Kunal Rao i/b. M.A.

Choudhari for Applicant

Mr. Sandeep Parekh i/b. India Law Alliance, for

Respondent No.3.

Ms. Alpana Ghone i/b. D.S.K. Legal for Respondent

No.4.

CORAM: F.I. REBELLO &

ANOOP V. MOHTA, JJ.

DATE: 1ST SEPTEMBER 2006

P.C.

. Heard parties. M.T.N.L. approached this Court against the decision of the State Government to acquire the land under the provisions of the Land Acquisition Act. The Petitioners have joined apart from State of Maharashtra, Respondent Nos. 3 and 4 who are private respondents.

2. The present application by the applicants is

that they be permitted to be added as respondents as they have also interest in the land. The private respondent Nos. 3 and 4 oppose the same on the ground that the Applicant was sought to be added have no right whatsoever. Submission on behalf of the applicants is that their names are now recorded in the revenue record. However, that has been stayed.

3. Considering the controversy in our opinion all that will happen would be that even if the Applicants are added as respondent, no right will be conferred upon them by the said addition. In the light of that Chamber Summons made absolute in terms of prayer clause (a). Amendment to be carried out within two weeks from today. Chamber Summons disposed of accordingly.

(F.I. REBELLO, J)

(ANOOP V. MOHTA,J).